December 19,1994 12/19/94 clerk Introduced by: <u>Vance</u>

Proposed No.: 94-827

ORDINANCE NO. 11638

AN ORDINANCE extending the establishment of interim development regulations on properties outside the interim urban growth areas in Ordinance 11110 and amending ORDINANCE 11110, Section 3 and declaring an emergency.

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE COUNCIL OF KING COUNTY:

SECTION 1. Ordinance 11110, Section 3 is amended to read as follows: Limit of interim controls. The controls specified in Section 2 shall expire ((December 31, 1994)) March 1, 1995 unless earlier repealed by the King County council upon its adoption of ((permanent urban growth areas and development regulations)) zoning to implement the 1994 King County Comprehensive Plan as ((part of the comprehensive plan)) required by the Growth Management Act.

SECTION 2. The King County council adopts and incorporates by reference the findings on pages one through four in Ordinance 11110 as shown in Attachment A to this ordinance and makes the following additional findings:

A. The Washington State Growth Management Act (GMA) requires King County to adopt interim and final urban growth areas pursuant to RCW 36.70A.110. King County adopted interim urban growth areas in Ordinance 11110 on November 8, 1993. In Ordinance 11110 the county also established interim controls on

IUGAXORD/12-19-94/10:35AM/

areas outside of the interim urban growth area that were previously designated and zoned urban under the 1985 King County Comprehensive Plan. Interim controls were deemed necessary to protect planning options for this approximately 49 square mile area of King county proposed for rural designation.

- B. The interim controls in Ordinance 11110 were set to expire on December 31, 1994. Permanent controls are scheduled to be adopted in early January, 1995, as part of the implementation of the 1994 King County Comprehensive Plan.
- C. Final designation of urban growth areas as required by the GMA occurred with the adoption of the 1994 King County Comprehensive Plan on November 18, 1994. However, King County has yet to adopt development regulations including zoning to implement the 1994 King County Comprehensive Plan.
- D. The King County council held a public hearing on December 9, 1994 to consider proposed Ordinance 94-737 which would adopt zoning to implement the 1994 King County Comprehensive Plan and continued consideration of proposed Ordinance 94-737 to December 19, 1994. Based on the extensive public testimony from this hearing, councilmembers have requested staff to prepare amendments to proposed Ordinance 94-737. Due to the extensive time necessary to prepare supporting analysis including zoning maps, the proposed amendments will not be ready for consideration at the December 19, 1994 council meeting.

1 SECTION
3 this ordinance
4 adoption of th
5 SECTION
7 emergency, the
8 11110 will exp
9 be in effect re
10 and policies.
11 fact and declar

SECTION 3. The council shall hold a public hearing on this ordinance pursuant to RCW 36.70A.390 within 60 days of adoption of this ordinance.

emergency, the interim controls previously adopted by ordinance 11110 will expire and this poses the risk that there will not be in effect regulations consistent with county land use plans and policies. Accordingly, the King County council finds as a fact and declares that an emergency exists and that this ordinance is necessary for the immediate preservation of public peace, health, or safety or for the support of county government and existing public institutions.

INTRODUCED AND READ for th	e first time this 19π day
of December, 1994.	
PASSED this day	of <u>December</u> , 1994.
•	KING COUNTY COUNCIL KING COUNTY, WASHINGTON
Passed by a vole of 12-1.	Kent Pullen
ATTEST:	Chair
Guald Go Peter	
Clerk of the Council $ ho$	
APPROVED this 30 d	av of December 1.94

IUGAXORD.DOC

October 28,1993 11/8/93 clerk

Gruger Introduced by:

Proposed No.:

93-634

ATTACHMENT A

ORDINANCE NO. 1111 AN ORDINANCE designating interim urban 11638

growth areas under RCW 36.70A.110 as amended and establishing interim development regulations on properties outside the interim urban growth areas.

PREAMBLE:

For the purpose of meeting the requirements of the State of Washington Growth Management Act (GMA) to designate interim urban growth areas under RCW 36.70A.110 as amended by Engrossed Substitute House Bill 1761, Section 2 (4), 1993 First Special Session of the Washington State Legislature, the King County Council makes the following findings:

- The GMA, as amended in 1991, required the preparation and adoption of Countywide Planning Policies based on a cooperative process between King County and its cities. The Countywide Planning Policies were to be adopted no later than July 1, 1992. The Growth Mana Planning Council (GMPC), a formal body of elected officials from Seattle, the suburban cities and King The Growth Management County, was established by interlocal agreement to develop countywide policies.
- GMPC work has been progressing in phases. I, an initial set of framework policies meeting the GMA requirements was developed by the GMPC and recommended to the King County council. These policies were adopted ratified by the King County council through Ordinance These policies were adopted and 10450 and signed by the county executive on July 6, 1992. They were then sent to the cities for ratification. The King County council recognized ratification of the policies by Motion #8766 on September 28, 1992. Passa of Motion #8766 formally completed Phase I work on the **Passage** Countywide Planning Policies (CPPs). Phase II work includes additional environmental and fiscal/economic impact analysis for any refinements to the CPPs, as outlined in Ordinance 10450.
- The Phase I Countywide Planning Policies call for most population and employment growth to occur within urban areas and for the establishment of an urban growth area to receive that growth. This policy direction is consistent with the GMA, which calls for urban growth areas to include cities and allows urban growth areas to include territory outside cities "only if such territory already is characterized by urban growth or is adjacent to territory already characterized by urban growth." Countywide Planning Policy LU-14 sets criteria for lands within the urban growth area. These include lands within existing cities, areas characterized by urban development which can receive urban services within the next 20 years, and areas sufficiently free of environmental constraints to be able to support urban growth without major environmental impacts. Further, the policies state that urban growth areas should not extend beyond natural boundaries and should respect topographic features.
- In arriving at its recommendations on the urban growth area, the GMPC concluded that jurisdictions within a smaller urban area could provide land capacity

93-634.clk/11-09-93/10:45am/

14

1

2

3

4 5

6

7

21 22 23

24

25

20

37 38 39

40

41

42

35

36

> 49 50

51 52 53

54 55

56 57 58

sufficient to accommodate growth expected to occur in King County during the next 20 years. The GMPC also concluded that necessary urban services and infrastructure could not be provided to the entire area designated urban in the 1985 King County Comprehensive Plan due to the high cost of serving certain low-density development patterns.

- 5. Final designation of urban growth areas as required by GMA will occur with the adoption of the Comprehensive Plan, to be updated by July 1994.
- 6. The council finds that for the purpose of designating interim urban growth areas in compliance with RCW 36.70A.110 King County will designate as its urban growth areas the same areas adopted as framework policies in the 1992 Countywide Planning Policies, except for a technical area east of the City of Issaquah, and the East Sammamish Community Plan Area.
- 7. RCW 36.70A.110 (2) requires the county to consult with each city on the location of an urban growth area within which the city is located. Through adoption of the Countywide Planning Policies the county consulted with cities within the countywide urban growth area and has continued consulting with the cities in the rural area. A status report on the progress of discussions with the rural cities is presented in Attachment B.
- 8. It is the intention of the county to continue to collaborate with cities on the final designation of urban growth areas, and then to enter into agreements regarding the transition of the these urban growth areas to the cities, in order for the county to support annexation proposals at the Washington State Boundary Review Board for King County.
- 9. The interim UGA designation for cities in the rural area is not intended to foreclose the analysis of alternatives a city may evaluate in developing its comprehensive plan. The county's environmental review for its 1994 updated comprehensive plan will include possible alternative UGAs for cities in the rural area.
- 10. For the Snoqualmie joint planning area, King County has executed an interlocal agreement with the City of Snoqualmie and Snoqualmie Ridge Associates which in part establishes a joint planning area. This joint planning area is unique in King County, having been established by the parties in 1990 as part of a community planning process. The interim urban growth area designated through this action, which excludes the Snoqualmie joint planning area, shall not be construed to limit any possible options in this joint planning area or predetermine any outcomes of the future joint planning process. All provisions of the interlocal agreement shall remain in full effect.
- 11. For the technical review areas east of the City of Issaquah, the GMPC recommended to the county that these areas be added to the urban growth area adopted in the 1992 Countywide Planning Policies. The council adopted this recommendation. It is now to be circulated for ratification by the cities.
- 12. For the East Sammamish planning area, the GMPC had determined that this boundary should be further evaluated and possibly revised based on the East Sammamish Community Plan Update process. That process was completed with adoption of the East Sammamish Community Plan on May 25, 1993. The East Sammamish Community Plan identified an

б

urban/rural line different than the one adopted in the Countywide Planning Policies.

Pursuant to East Sammamish Community Plan policy GM-7, if the urban growth areas identified in the plan conflict with the urban growth areas adopted in Ordinance 10450, changes for the adopted urban growth area shall be recommended to the GMPC by King County. King County staff has made recommendations to the GMPC which will be made part of the Phase II proposed amendments to the Countywide Planning Policies still being analyzed by the GMPC as part of the Phase II environmental review.

The King County Council, in its adoption of the East Sammamish Community Plan, acknowledged that certain planning activities then underway might lead to revised land use designations and development regulations within The County Council indicated that the the planning area. western portion of the Grand Ridge Sub Area may be redesignated urban if compatible with the findings of the Issaquah Wellhead Protection Study and the groundwater management program for the area. The Council further management program for the area. The Council further called for future consideration of a density transfer program within the Grand Ridge Sub Area.

In the meantime, King County proposes the urban growth area in the East Sammamish Community Plan as the interim urban growth area for the East Sammamish Community Plan

- 13. King County has designated expansion areas for rural area cities, which were included in the urban growth area adopted by the 1992 Countywide Planning Policies. exception is the City of Black Diamond. King County never designated an expansion area for the City of Black Diamond as part of a community plan update process. The City of Black Diamond desires King County to designate an interim urban growth area to enable the City to proceed with its GMA-mandated comprehensive planning process. The interim UGA in this ordinance does not conform with that proposed by the City of Black Diamond, requiring the county per RCW 36.70A.110 (2) to "...justify in writing why it so designated the area an urban growth area..." The The smaller designated interim UGA recognizes only the long-standing vision of the City, reflected in its 1980 plan, to expand to the east for, among other reasons, protection of water quality in the drainage basin. Additional work to be done will enable the county to make a final designation of the City's UGA.
- The council finds that, for a substantial portion of the county not in the urban growth areas, development regulations are in place to prohibit urban development (1985 King County Comprehensive Plan as amended by adopted Community Plans for Vashon - October 27, 1986, Bear Creek - January 30, 1989, Snoqualmie - August 29, 1989, Enumclaw - June 12, 1990, Soos Creek - December 17, 1990, Tahoma Raven Heights - December 18, 1991, Northshore - January Soos Creek - December 17, 1990, Tahoma 19, 1993, and East Sammamish - May 25, 1993.)
- For the East Sammamish Community Planning Area, water moratorium on certificates of water availability adopted by Northeast Lake Sammamish Water and Sewer District is currently in effect. A new source for this area must be approved by the State Department of Ecology prior to the lifting of this moratorium by the District.
- The council finds that interim controls are necessary to preserve planning options and carry out the vision of the Countywide Planning Policies. Without

Ċ

1

2

3 4

5

б

7 8

9 10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23 24

25

27

28

29

30

31

32 33

34 35

36

37

38 39

40

41

42 43 44

45

46

47

48

49 50 51

52

53

54

55 56

57

58 59 60

61

interim controls a subdivision pattern contrary to planning undertaken through the Growth Management Act could result.

5

Current subdivision patterns and development applications in the pipeline show this to be true. Subdivision applications in the pipeline for the area proposed to be rural rather than urban total approximately 2,300 units on 3,300 acres, for an average density of 0.70 units per gross acre. Continuation of this development pattern in applications not yet submitted would be inconsistent with the adopted density guidelines for rural areas in the King County Comprehensive Plan (and in the vision of the Countywide Planning Policies) which call for densities in the range of 1 unit per 5 to 10 acres for all rural areas not already developed at higher densities.

- 17. The council finds that the existing environmental documents adopted by King County on May 5, 1992, addendum issued on June 18, 1992, the environmental review completed for the Issaquah technical review areas, and the supporting addendum which was prepared for this action are adequate under SEPA for the purposes of the county's designation of these interim urban growth areas and interim development regulations.
- 18. The council finds that work in progress will produce a fiscal analysis adequate for the purposes of the adopted Countywide Planning Policies which must be completed by the time the county designates its permanent urban growth areas or revises its comprehensive land use plan as required by the Growth Management Act.
- 19. Designation of these interim urban growth areas and placing of interim land use controls provide for the coordination and regulation of public and private development and bear a substantial relationship to, and are necessary for, the public health, safety and general welfare of King County and its residents.

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE COUNCIL OF KING COUNTY:

SECTION 1. Designation of interim urban growth areas.

The interim urban growth areas for King County are shown on the map in Attachments A, A-1, and A-2, provided that, upon completion of the planning committed to in the 1990 agreement between the City of Snoqualmie and the county, the county will designate as part of the City of Snoqualmie's UGA any area in the Joint Planning Area agreed to be urban by the county and the City.

SECTION 2. A. Interim residential development controls in "Areas Under Interim Controls." The subdivision and short subdivision of land within the "Areas Under Interim Controls" as shown in Attachment A shall be limited to the creation of lots no smaller than five acres in size except for the GR-5 and GR-2.5 zones. In the GR-5 and GR-2.5 zones, the subdivision

1638 TTTT

and short subdivision of properties in the "Areas Under Interim Controls" shall be limited to the creation of lots no smaller than 5 acres or to clustered subdivisions or short subdivisions at a density of one home per 5 acres subject to the provisions Common open space created by clustering of KCC 21.21A.080. shall be designated a permanent rural open tract pursuant to Planned unit developments shall not be KCC 21.21A.070. permitted.

King County shall not approve or support applications for new sewer or water district franchises or sewer service extensions which include any properties within the "Areas Under Interim Controls" as shown in Attachment A.

SECTION 3. Limit of interim controls. The controls specified in Section 2 shall expire December 31, 1994 unless earlier repealed by the King County council upon its adoption of permanent urban growth areas and development regulations as part of the comprehensive plan required by the growth management act.

of	INTRODUCED AND READ for the fire	st	time this 23^{20} d	a
	PASSED this day of	1	Javember, 1992	3
	KII KII		COUNTY COUNCIL COUNTY, WASHINGTON	

ATTZST:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22 23

24 25

26

29

30 31

34

35

36

27

28 Council

> 22ml day of APPROVED this ___ 1993

> > King County Executive

32 Attachments: 33

A, A-1, A-2. Interim Urban Growth Area Maps B. Rural Cities Urban Growth Areas, Preliminary Recommendations of the King County Planning and Community Development Staff